

LECTURE 16

Example of direct proof

Sum of N first integers

$$\sum_{i=1}^N i = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + N = \frac{N(N+1)}{2}$$

Proof: Let $S = \sum_{i=1}^N i$ then $2S = (N+1) + \dots + (N+1)$
 so $S = N \frac{N+1}{2}$

this is a direct proof: we start our initial object ($S = \sum_{i=1}^N i$) and by making derivations we obtain the final result.

Indirect proof

Main types of indirect proofs:

- * proof by contradiction
- * proof by contrapositive

these proofs are called "indirect" because we set out to prove something different than our goal

$$(A \wedge \neg B) \rightarrow \text{FALSE}$$

$$\neg B \rightarrow \neg A$$

This material is covered in the SCHEINERMAN chapters on Calculus

PIGEONHOLE PRINCIPLE

If... then... $P \Rightarrow Q$

Statement: If N pigeons are placed into M pigeonholes with $N > M$, then [at least one pigeonhole must contain more than one pigeon.] B

$$A \Rightarrow B$$

Proof by contradiction

$$(A \wedge \neg B) \rightarrow \text{FALSE}$$

① Assume the opposite:

Suppose that N pigeons are placed into M pigeonholes with $N > M$ and every pigeonhole contains at most one pigeon.

$\neg B$: every pigeonhole contains at most one pigeon

② This means the maximum number of pigeons that can be placed without any pigeonhole containing more than one pigeon is M .

③ Build-up to contradiction: But we have N pigeons and $N > M$. This contradicts our original assumption that every pigeonhole contains at most one pigeon.

④ Conclude that $\neg B$ can't be true: Therefore our assumption $\neg B$ is false and hence the pigeonhole principle is true.

To prove

$$A \Rightarrow B$$

You can:

- prove $\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$ (contrapositive has same truth value as implication)
- Assume $A \wedge \neg B$ and show contradiction

Yixuan Gao

Xixoying Zhang

Proof by contrapositive ($\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$)

Contrapositive:

$$\neg B$$

If [no pigeonhole contains more than one pigeon]

then $[N \leq M]$.

$$\neg A$$

Statement: If N pigeons are placed into M pigeonholes with $N > M$, then [at least one pigeonhole must contain more than one pigeon.] B

$$A \Rightarrow B$$

① Assume that no pigeonhole contains more than one pigeon ($\neg B$).

② This means the maximum number of pigeons that can be placed without any pigeonhole containing more than one pigeon is M .

③ Therefore, the number of pigeons (N) must be less than (or equal) to M .

④ This proves the contrapositive ($\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$) and hence the original statement.

Application: Can be used to prove

- Injective Functions: Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function where A and B are finite sets and $|A| > |B|$. Then f cannot be injective (one-to-one)

↳ proof using Pigeonhole Principle

Another example of proof by contradiction

Statement: $\sqrt{2}$ is not rational.

Proof (by contradiction).

① Assume contradiction

Let's assume $\sqrt{2}$ is rational.

② This means that there exists $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $q \neq 0$ such that $\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$

③ Derive until you get a contradiction:

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q} \quad \text{squaring both sides}$$

$$2 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$$

$$2q^2 = p^2$$

This means that p^2 is even. (since divisible by 2)

Consequence: p is even ①

④ Therefore there exist $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p = 2k$.

$$2q^2 = (2k)^2$$

$$2q^2 = 4k^2$$

$$q^2 = 2k^2$$

Consequence: q is even ②

⑤ ① + ② If both p and q are even then they share a common factor, they are coprime, which conflicts with our original assumption that p and q are coprime.

⑥ Therefore our original assumption that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational must be false.

⑦ Therefore $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

after the first step of a proof is unwinding the defs

$$A \setminus B$$

def.

$$x \in A \text{ AND } x \notin B$$

p and q coprime