First another example of Is this transitive? Yes. If $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$ then it is clearly true that $a \leq c.$ Is this anti-symmetric? Yes. If $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$ then it must be the case that a = ba relation. Given the set U = the set of all the states in the USA. Let us define a relation as follows. Two states are related if and only if they are adjacent (they share a land or water border). U = { all states in the USA } Is this reflexive? That is an interesting question because it really depends on the to a question like 'does Pennsylvania border Pennsylvania'. And really that argument can go both ways. This is a classic case of English and Math not being totally in sync It is also a reason why after a while, we have to resort to more mathematical examples Is this symmetric? This is clear. YES. is this symmetric. This is term, 1.2.5. Is this transitive? No, While we have not covered the concept of a mathematical iyet, it is important to learn that in order to disprove a statement that says 'for ϵ ...,' all you need to demonstrate is one counter example. Think of it as the one re-apple! PA Pennsylvania EU NertTo CUXU Where does this fall apart? Virginia borders North Carolina. North Carolina borders South Carolina. But VA does not border SC. Is this anti-symmetric? No. The same example as above works as a counter examp New Jersey E U Is it possible for a relation to be symmetric and anti-symmetric at the s This will lead to the concept of a vacuously true statement. Consider the set $\{1\}$ and the relation $\{(1,1)\}$. While seemingly trivial and stupid, this relation turns out to be both symmetric and anti-symmetric. Definition of a function Let NextTo be the relation: A function f from a set X to a set Y, denoted $f : X \to Y$ is a relation from X, the domain to Y the co-domain that satisfies two properties 1. every element of X is related to some element in Ytwo states are related 2. no element of X is related to more than one element in Yif and only if they are adjacat The zybook refers to the co-domain as target. The set of all values of f taken together - range. You are probably used to functions in calculus. The functions we do in this course are exactly the same (xay! math definitions do not just change randomly). The big advantage is we can draw what is generally referred as arrow diagrams since the sets we deal with are discrete. (they share a border) equivalent (PA, NJ) & NextTo Notation (PA, NJ) & NextTo SPA NextTo NJ Sinfix Formal definitions notation A relation can be from one set to a different set ; or from set to the sme (= endorelation). Next To = { ... (PA, N3), (NJ, PA), (NY, NJ) (NJ, DE), -- (· reflexive? does it contain prices (x,x) (NJ, NJ) or (PA, PA) this depends on whether you consider a state borders itself PA NextTo NJ · Symmetric ? YES, because if PA booders NJ then this relation is reciprocal and NJ borders PA NJ Nextlo PA • <u>transitive</u>? NO. example: (PA,NJ) ENextTo (NJ, NY) E NextTo yet we have (PA, NY) & NextTo lequix. PA NextTo NY notations . arti-symmetric? the relation would be antisymmetric only if (NJ, PA) ENext To (PA, NJ) ENext To would imply that NJ=PA which is clearly not true.



BUT af b

SURJECTIVE FUNCTION OR ONTO

